

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/783,248	DECICCO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey E. Russel	1654	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Finally rejected

 (1) Jeffrey E. Russel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Wendy A. Choi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

All

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:


All

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Jeffrey Edwin Russel
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1654

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner faxed courtesy copy of Advisory action to be mailed in response to the amendment after final filed July 29, 2004. Applicants faxed a draft supplemental amendment after final rejection which, after a few changes to claims 12, 14, and 16, will place the application in condition for allowance. Applicants will submit formal supplemental amendment after final through the usual channels. .
